IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of : HABETHA, et al.

Serial No. : 10/597,543

Filed : July 28, 2006

Art Unit : 2617

Examiner : AJIBADE AKONAI, OLUMIDE

Att. Docket : 2004P00388WOUS [US040121]

Confirmation No. : 2516

APPEAL BRIEF On Appeal from Group Art Unit 2617

Mail Stop: Appeal Brief Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This Appeal Brief is submitted in support of the Notice of Appeal filed on October 20, 2011 and in response to the final Office Action of July 27, 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REAL PARTY IN INTERST
II.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
III.	STATUS OF CLAIMS3
IV.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS4
V.	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER5
VI.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL7
VII.	ARGUMENT8
VIII.	CLAIMS APPENDIX12
IX.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX21
X.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX22

Appeal Brief

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest of the above-identified application is Koninklijke Philips

Electronics N.V., the assignee of record, whose assignment is recorded in the USPTO

as of July 28, 2006 on four (4) pages beginning at Reel 018019, Frame 0233.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellants are not aware of any pending appeals, judicial proceedings, or

interferences which may be related to, directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a

bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-33 and 35-37 are pending at the time of filing this Appeal Brief.

b) Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 22, 27, 28, 33, 35, and 37 stand

rejected in a final Office Action dated July 27, 2012, and are the subject of

this appeal.

c) Claims 2, 6, 8, 10, 13, 16-18, 20, 21, 23-26, 29-32, and 36 are allowable if

3

rewritten in independent form.

d) Claims 1, 35, and 37 are independent.

e) Claim 34 is canceled.

Appeal Brief

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

The claims listed in section "VIII. Claims Appendix" of this Appeal Brief

correspond to the claims as submitted in Appellants' response filed on April 29, 2011, in

have been submitted following the response of April 29, 2011, nor are any amendments

4

pending.

Serial No.: 10/597,543 Appeal Brief

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER1

The claimed invention, as recited in claim 1, is directed to a method of decentralized medium access control in a communications network including a plurality of devices, (see Appellants' specification at least at Fig. 3B, page 5, lines 23-24 and page 6, line 25-page 7, line 7) comprising: dividing time into a sequence of at least one superframe (page 2, lines 30-31); and a first device of said plurality of devices transmitting in the superframe at a target beacon transmission time (TBTT) (page 4, lines 1-10) a beacon frame that includes a reservation for a planned transmission by a sender device during the superframe (page 17, lines 16-19).

The claimed invention, as recited in claim 35, is directed to a wireless device that reserves a medium in a distributed manner (page 6, lines 28-33), comprising: an antenna for sending and receiving messages over a wireless medium (page 6, lines 26-28); a receiver coupled to the antenna to receive messages transmitted over the wireless medium (page 6, lines 26-28); a transmitter coupled to the antenna to transmit messages over the wireless medium (page 6, line 33-page 7, line 2); a distributed reservation processing module to perform distributed reservation of the medium (page 6, lines 28-29); a processor to divide time into a sequence of at least one superframe (page 2, lines 30-31), each said superframe having at least one beacon period that starts at a target Beacon Period Start Time (BPST) and includes at least one beacon slot, said beacon period being followed in the superframe by a data transmission phase (page 2, lines 7-11), and coupled to: the transmitter and the receiver to send and receive, respectively, beacon frames during said beacon period and data during said data transmission phase of the superframe, the distributed reservation processing

¹ It should be explicitly noted that it is not Appellants' intention that the currently claimed or described embodiments be limited to operation within the illustrative embodiments described below beyond what is required by the claim language. Further description of the illustrative embodiments are provided indicating portions of the claims which cover the illustrative embodiments merely for compliance with requirements of this appeal without intending to read any further interpreted limitations into the claims as presented.

Serial No.: 10/597,543 Appeal Brief

module to manage beacon slot occupancy and data transmission phase reservations (page 6, lines 28-29); format a beacon frame for transmission in the at least one beacon slot, such that the beacon frame includes a reservation of the medium by the device for data transmission during the data transmission phase (page 6, line 33-page 7, line 2 and page 17, lines 16-19), and format a beacon frame for transmission in the at least one beacon slot that responds to reservations received over the medium (page 21, lines 17-21).

The claimed invention, as recited in claim 37, is directed to a wireless device for distributed reservation of a medium, comprising: an antenna for sending and receiving messages over a wireless medium (page 6, lines 26-28); a receiver coupled to the antenna to receive medium reservation messages transmitted over the wireless medium (page 6, lines 26-28); a transmitter operatively coupled to the antenna to transmit medium reservation messages over the wireless medium (page 6, line 33-page 7, line 2); a distributed reservation processing module to perform distributed reservation of the medium (page 6, lines 28-29); and a processor coupled to the distributed reservation processing module, a distributed reservation protocol (DRP) bitmap, and a memory including a DRP reservation table (page 7, lines 6-7), said processor using the distributed reservation processing module (page 6, lines 29-33), the DRP bitmap, and the DRP reservation table to divide time into a sequence of at least one superframe (page 2, lines 30-31 and page 7, lines 3-6), and transmitting in the superframe at a target beacon transmission time (TBTT) (page 4, lines 1-10) a beacon frame that includes a reservation for a planned transmission by a sender device during the superframe (page 17, lines 16-19).

Appeal Brief

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

A. Whether claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 27, 28, and 33 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by Kondylis et al. 6,665,311 ("Kondylis").

B. Whether claims 22, 35, and 37 are properly rejected as noted on box 6 of the Office Action Summary page.

Appeal Brief

VII. ARGUMENT

Appellants respectfully traverse the rejections in accordance with the detailed

arguments set forth below.

A. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 27, 28, and 33 are not properly

rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Kondylis.

1. Claim 1

Independent claim 1 requires:

A method of decentralized medium access control in a communications network including a plurality of devices, comprising:

dividing time into a sequence of at least one

superframe: and

a first device of said plurality of devices transmitting in the superframe at a target beacon transmission time (TBTT) <u>a beacon frame that includes a reservation for a planned</u> transmission by a sender device during the superframe.

[Emphasis added].

On page 4 of the final Office Action, the Examiner asserts that Kondylis at figures

9 and 10; column 16, line 62-column 7, line 5; column 17, lines 21-29 and 61-66

discloses a beacon frame that includes a reservation for a planned transmission by \boldsymbol{a}

sender device. Appellants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Kondylis relates to adaptive bandwidth reservation in wireless ad-hoc networks in

8

which a frame is allegedly divided into a signaling subframe and a data subframe

(Kondylis, figures 3, 9, 10 and column 16, line 62-column 17, line 5). At figure 9 and

column 17, lines 21-65, Kondylis appears to disclose that the signaling subframe

includes the reservation slots.

Appeal Brief

The Examiner appears to consider that Kondylis' <u>signaling</u> subframe, which apparently includes the reservation information, is equivalent to a beacon frame that includes a reservation, as defined in Appellants' claim 1.

Appellants respectfully assert that Kondylis' signaling subframe is distinct from a beacon frame. There is no indication of a beacon in Kondylis' signaling subframe, therefore Appellants respectfully assert that a beacon frame is not the same as, nor equivalent to, the signaling subframe of Kondylis.

Furthermore, Kondylis apparently discloses that the <u>data</u> subframe, but <u>not</u> the signaling subframe, receives the beacon information. See for example Kondylis, figure 3 and column 7, lines 49-56, where the frame 300 is divided into a signaling subsection 302 and a data subsection 304. The data subsection 304 includes data slots 314. Each of the data slots 314 includes a receive beacon minislot 316. See also Kondylis, claim 10, which recites in part: "the TDMA frame is divided into a signaling portion and a data portion, . . . the data portion comprising data slots, with each data slot including a receive beacon portion. . . ." Therefore, Kondylis' signaling subframe is not equivalent to a beacon frame. Accordingly, Kondylis does not disclose the feature of a beacon frame that includes a reservation for a planned transmission by a sender device during the superframe, as required in claim 1.

In summary, Kondylis appears to disclose that the signaling subframe includes reservation and the data subframe includes the beacon information. However, this is distinct from claim 1, which requires a beacon frame that includes a reservation because the signaling subframe of Kondylis is not a beacon frame.

Appeal Brief

In view of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that Kondylis does not disclose every feature of claim 1, and thus claim 1 is not anticipated by Kondylis.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Board reverse the rejection of claim 1

under 35 U.S.C. §102(e).

2. Claims 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 27, 28, and 33

Each of claims 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 27, 28, and 33 ultimately depends

from claim 1. Furthermore, each dependent claim includes additional distinguishing

features. For each dependent claim, Appellants apply the above arguments from claim

1. Thus, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 3-5, 7, 9, 11, 12,

14, 15, 19, 27, 28, and 33 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), are unfounded and should be $\,$

reversed.

B. Claims 22, 35, and 37 are not properly rejected as noted on box 6 of the

Office Action Summary page.

1. Claims 22, 35, and 37

In the Office Action dated June 17, 2010, independent claims 35 and 37 were

allowed and dependent claim 22 was allowable if rewritten in independent form.

However, in the Office Action dated February 1, 2011, claims 22, 35, and 37 were

rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph because of insufficient antecedent basis

10

of certain features as recited in the respective claims.

Appeal Brief

In Appellants' response dated April 29, 2011, claims 22, 35, and 37 were

amended to sufficiently address the antecedent basis issues in the respective claims.

However, in the final Office Action dated July 27, 2011, claims 22, 35, and 37 are

rejected as noted on box 6 of the Office Action Summary page.

Appellants respectfully submit that the antecedent basis issues of claims 22, 35,

and 37 have been sufficiently addressed, and therefore the rejections of claims 22, 35,

and 37 are unfounded and should be reversed.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims

11

 $1,\, 3\text{-}5,\, 7,\, 9,\, 11,\, 12,\, 14,\, 15,\, 19,\, 22,\, 27,\, 28,\, 33,\, 35,\, \text{and}\,\, 37\,\, \text{are in error, legally and}$

factually, and must be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Brian S. Myers/ Brian S. Myers

Myers Wolin, LLC

Reg. No. 46,947

By: /Stan Tso/ Stan Tso

Myers Wolin, LLC Reg. No. 64.500

Please direct all correspondence to:

Corporate Counsel
U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION

P.O. Box 3001

Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

Appeal Brief

VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. (previously presented) A method of decentralized medium access control in a

communications network including a plurality of devices, comprising:

dividing time into a sequence of at least one superframe; and

a first device of said plurality of devices transmitting in the superframe at a target

beacon transmission time (TBTT) a beacon frame that includes a reservation for a

planned transmission by a sender device during the superframe.

2. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein:

said first device is the sender of said planned transmission; and

further comprising:

the sender including the reservation in a beacon frame in all

superframes during which the reservation is active, and

including, by a receiver device of the planned transmission, said reservation in a beacon frame in all superframes during which the

reservation is active.

3. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising grouping

the beacon frame transmitted by each of the plurality of devices into the superframe as

at least one beacon period having a starting point at a beacon period start time (BPST)

and followed by a data transmission phase.

4. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising prior to a

new or a change of an existing reservation of the sender device, the sender device

negotiating with a receiver device of the transmission that is planned during the

reservation.

5. (previously presented) The method of claim 4, said negotiation comprising:

Attorney Docket: 2004P00388WOUS [PHLP 1709]

Appeal Brief

an initiator device of the reservation transmitting a distributed reservation protocol (DRP)-Request message comprising at least one reservation description selected from the group consisting of

a starting time, and a duration signalled by means of BPST or TBTT offset.

a reservation period,

a bitmap indicating the reserved times.

at least one time slot number.

a priority.

a channel/hopping indicator, and

a code sequence; and

in response to said DRP-Request, said negotiation further comprises at least one receiver device of the reservation transmitting a distributed reservation protocol (DRP)-Response message that includes an indicator selected from the group consisting of the proposed reservation is accepted, the proposed reservation is rejected with an alternative reservation proposal and the proposed reservation is rejected without an alternative proposal.

6. (previously presented) The method of claim 5, wherein the negotiation further comprises said at least one receiver device further including in said DRP-Response one of the items selected from the group consisting of at least one alternative available time proposal for the reservation and information of at least one alternative available time during the superframe.

7. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising including in the beacon frame of the first device a starting time of the reservation relative to a reference point selected from the group consisting of the TBTT of the first device, the BPST of the beacon period in which the first device is transmitting the beacon frame, the beginning of the superframe, a time period of the superframe, and a time slot of the superframe.

Appeal Brief

8. (previously presented) The method of claim 7, wherein:

the starting time of the reservation is given relative to said reference point in the next following superframe, in which said first device will transmit its next beacon frame;

and

if proposed by the receiver device, the at least one alternative available time for the reservation is given relative to a reference point in the next following superframe, in

which said, receiver device will transmit its next beacon frame.

9. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising maintaining

by each device of said plurality of devices a table of all planned reservations received or

sent by the device.

10. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

a receiver device of said reservation sending a poll packet to the sender device;

upon receipt of the poll packet, the sender device sending at least one data

the receiver device acknowledging receipt of at least one data packet by

transmitting an acknowledgement (ACK) packet.

packet to the receiver device; and

11. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

defining said superframe as comprising a plurality of medium access time slots;

and

defining a reservation as a starting time slot of said plurality of medium access

time slots and a duration as a number of medium access time slots.

12. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

defining said superframe as comprising a plurality of time units; and

defining a reservation as a starting time in time units and a duration as a number

of time units.

Attorney Docket: 2004P00388WOUS [PHLP 1709]

Serial No.: 10/597,543 Appeal Brief

Appeal Bilei

13. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

defining said superframe as comprising a plurality of medium access time slots;

and

defining a reservation as at least one bit in a bitmap comprising at least one bit

per each medium access time slot of said plurality of medium access time slots.

14. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

defining said superframe as comprising a plurality of medium access time slots;

and

defining a reservation as at least one element selected from the group consisting of a reservation period, a reservation offset, a reservation period offset, a reservation

duration, a bitmap of at least one medium access time slot and a type of reservation.

15. (previously presented) The method of claim 1 further comprising defining a

reservation as one element selected from the group consisting of:

a plurality of reservations per superframe and valid for a single superframe,

a plurality of reservations per superframe and valid for a plurality of superframes,

single reservation per superframe and valid for a single superframe, and single reservation per superframe and valid for a plurality of superframes.

16. (previously presented) The method of claim 6, wherein said at least one

alternative available time for the reservation is signalled by means of an availability

bitmap having at least one bit per time slot to indicate the availability of the time slot.

17. (previously presented) The method of claim 6, wherein said at least one

alternative available time for the reservation is signalled by means of at least one

element selected from the group consisting of reservation period, reservation offset,

reservation period offset, reservation duration, bitmap having at least one bit per time

slot to indicate the availability of the time slot.

Attorney Docket: 2004P00388WOUS [PHLP 1709]

Appeal Brief

18. (previously presented) The method of claim 2, further comprising implicitly negotiating the reservation using a first beacon frame of the sender device and a first

legistrating the reservation using a mist beacon marile of the school device and a mis

beacon frame of the receiver device.

19. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising including

availability information in a beacon frame of a device.

20. (previously presented) The method of claim 5, further comprising the

initiator device completing the negotiation with a transmission of a DRP Complete

message.

21. (previously presented) The method of claim 5, further comprising the

sender device terminating the reservation.

22. (previously presented) The method of claim 21, further comprising the

sender device terminating a reservation that was initiated by an explicit negotiation, by

transmission of a termination command.

23. (previously presented) The method of claim 22, further comprising the

receiver device acknowledging the termination command of a unicast stream by

transmission of an Immediate Acknowledgment (Imm ACK) frame.

24. (previously presented) The method of claim 22, further comprising sending

a termination command by all devices that had previously included the reservation in a

beacon frame.

25. (previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the beacon frame

of the transmitting and including comprises a distributed reservation protocol (DRP)

information element (IE) that includes information regarding the position of at least one

reservation in the superframe.

Attorney Docket: 2004P00388WOUS [PHLP 1709]

Appeal Brief

26. (previously presented) The method of claim 22, further comprising terminating a reservation by performing one selected from the group consisting of:

removing the reservation information element IE from a current beacon frame

and all subsequent beacon frames, and

setting the duration field of the reservation information element IE to zero in a current beacon frame and removing the reservation information element IE from subsequent beacon frames.

27. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein:

the transmitting includes in the beacon frame information of a reservation selected from the group consisting of a starting point and duration, and a bitmap; and the including is optional.

28. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising respecting the reservation by all devices receiving a beacon frame that includes the reservation.

29. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

including information on a direction of the planned transmission in the beacon frame; and

only devices within a transmission range of a receiver device respecting the reservation, in case of a unidirectional planned transmission.

- 30. (previously presented) The method of claim 25, wherein only the receiver device performs the including to include the reservation information element IE in the beacon frame.
- 31. (previously presented) The method of claim 25, wherein only receiver devices and all 1-hop neighbor devices of receiver devices perform the including to include the reservation information element IE in the beacon frame.

Appeal Brief

32. (previously presented) The method of claim 25, wherein the sender device,

receiver devices, and all 1-hop neighbor devices of the sender device and receiver

devices perform the including to include the reservation information element IE in a

beacon frame.

33. (previously presented) The method of claim 27, further comprising the

receiver device of a reservation performing:

in case of a Soft Reservation, starting an own transmission if the sender device

does not use the reserved time;

in case of a Hard Reservation, not accessing the medium if the sender device of

the planned transmission does not use the reserved time; and

in case of a Beacon Period Reservation, reserving the time for beacon

transmission only.

34. (canceled)

35. (previously presented) A wireless device that reserves a medium in a

distributed manner, comprising:

an antenna for sending and receiving messages over a wireless medium;

a receiver coupled to the antenna to receive messages transmitted over the

wireless medium;

a transmitter coupled to the antenna to transmit messages over the wireless

medium;

a distributed reservation processing module to perform distributed reservation of

the medium;

a processor to divide time into a sequence of at least one superframe, each said

superframe having at least one beacon period that starts at a target Beacon Period Start Time (BPST) and includes at least one beacon slot, said beacon period being

followed in the superframe by a data transmission phase, and coupled to:

Attorney Docket: 2004P00388WOUS [PHLP 1709]

Appeal Brief

the transmitter and the receiver to send and receive, respectively, beacon frames during said beacon period and data during said data transmission phase of the superframe.

the distributed reservation processing module to

manage beacon slot occupancy and data transmission phase reservations:

format a beacon frame for transmission in the at least one beacon slot, such that the beacon frame includes a reservation of the medium by the device for data transmission during the data transmission phase, and

format a beacon frame for transmission in the at least one beacon slot that responds to reservations received over the medium.

36. (previously presented) The wireless device of claim 35, wherein:

each superframe further comprises a plurality of medium access slots allocated between said beacon period and said data transmission phase;

and further comprising

a bitmap operably connected to said processor and arranged to have at least one bit that corresponds to a slot of said plurality of medium access slots, and

a memory operably connected to said processor and arranged to store a reservation table of all planned reservations received or sent by the device: and

said distributed reservation protocol (DRP) processing module further configured

to

set and reset said at least one bit of said bitmap in accordance with reservations of the medium for data transmission and beacon slot occupancy, and

Appeal Brief

store and delete reservations sent and received by the device in the reservation table of the memory.

 (previously presented) A wireless device for distributed reservation of a medium, comprising:

an antenna for sending and receiving messages over a wireless medium:

a receiver coupled to the antenna to receive medium reservation messages transmitted over the wireless medium;

a transmitter operatively coupled to the antenna to transmit medium reservation messages over the wireless medium;

a distributed reservation processing module to perform distributed reservation of the medium; and

a processor coupled to the distributed reservation processing module, a distributed reservation protocol (DRP) bitmap, and a memory including a DRP reservation table, said processor using the distributed reservation processing module, the DRP bitmap, and the DRP reservation table to divide time into a sequence of at least one superframe, and transmitting in the superframe at a target beacon transmission time (TBTT) a beacon frame that includes a reservation for a planned transmission by a sender device during the superframe.

Appeal Brief

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

No evidence has been submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 of this title nor any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by Appellants in the appeal.

Appeal Brief

X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

Appellants are not aware of any appeals or interferences related to the present application.